



Smt Gurmeet Kaur Kwatra,
E-457, Ground Floor,
Greater Kailash –II, New Delhi..

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Hospital,
Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Hospital,
Pathankot.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3724 of 2020

PRESENT: Smt.Gurmeet Kaur as the Appellant on Whatsapp
Sh.Satish Kumar, Clerk for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 05.04.2018 has sought information on 10 points regarding details of patients referred by the Civil Hospital, Pathankot to Guru Nanak Medical College, Amritsar from 31.03.2012 to 28.02.2015 and 31.03.2015 to 31.03.2018 – the details of drivers of ambulances along with the logbooks for the last 6 years – appointment letters of new drivers of the ambulances – total funds allocated to the Civil Hospital Pathankot – Syrup, Corex received by the Pathankot hospital –contracts entered for the canteen, cycle stand and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Hospital Pathankot. The appellant, not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO, filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 06.12.2019, which took no decision on the appeal. After filing the first appeal, the PIO did send some information to the appellant vide letter dated 06.01.2020.

The case last came up for hearing on 09.08.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Pathankot. The respondent was absent.

The Commission received a letter from the PIO on 20.04.2021 stating that they have already sent the information to the appellant vide letter dated 16.04.2018, 25.02.2019 and 06.01.2021 and a copy of the CD containing all relevant information was sent to the Commission. The PIO further mentioned in the letter that a representative of the appellant also visited their office and inspected the record and gave a statement that they are satisfied with the provided information.

As per the appellant, the information provided by the PIO was incomplete.

Since the appellant was in disagreement with what had been provided, PIO was directed to provide an affidavit, in which it is stated that, whatever the information has been provided is true, complete and no further information is available relating to this RTI application.

Appeal Case No. 3724 of 2020

Hearing dated 13.12.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Pathankot. The respondent has sent an affidavit as per the order of the Commission which has been taken on the file of the Commission. The appellant is present through WhatsApp and informed that she has not received the affidavit.

A photocopy of the affidavit is being retained and the original affidavit is being sent to the appellant along with the order.

With the above, the information stands provided. No further interference is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 13.12.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Navdeep Gupta,
04, Nimrit Villa,
Mansahia Colony, Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Directorate of Health Services & Family Welfare,
Pb, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Directorate of Health Services & Family Welfare,
Pb, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4031 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Pawan Goyal on behalf of the Appellant
Sh.Rishi Sharma, Sr.Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 27.08.2020 has sought information regarding the amount of grant provided per person for the treatment of Covid-19 positive case by Punjab Govt, Central Gov. and WHO and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Directorate of Health and Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO provided the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.10.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 09.08.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Patiala/Mohali. The respondent present pleaded that they received the RTI application on 02.12.2020 through the Directorate of Health Services & Family Welfare and the reply was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 15.01.2021.

The Commission received a copy of the letter from the PIO-National Health Mission, Punjab on 09.06.2021 vide which the PIO had sent a reply to the appellant that no grant was provided per person for the treatment of a Covid-19 positive case by NHM.

The appellant was not satisfied with the information provided and pleaded that he has sought information from the Directorate of Health & Family Welfare regarding the grant received/provided by Punjab Govt., Central Govt and WHO.

The PIO-National Health Mission was exempted.

The case was sent back to the Directorate of Health Services & Family Welfare, Pb with the direction to file an appropriate reply if any 'per person' grant was issued by the Punjab Govt., the Central Govt or WHO.

Hearing dated 13.12.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala/Mohali. The respondent present informed that in compliance with the order of the Commission, a reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 22.10.2021 with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant has received the same.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 13.12.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Gafoor Mohammed,
7, Rose Avenue Malerkotla,
Sangrur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon-cum-
Registrar, Birth & Deaths,
Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare,
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3732 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
 Dr.S.J.Singh for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 11.06.2020 has sought information regarding the copy of the register of death and birth relating to VPO Banbhaura, PS Amargr from the year 1950 to 31.12.1970 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon-cum-,Registrar, Birth & Deaths, Sangrur. . The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 02.09.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 09.08.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Patiala/Sangrur. The respondent present pleaded that the information that has been sought by the appellant is not readily available and has to be created and the reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 12.02.2021.

The appellant was absent. The case was adjourned.

Hearing dated 13.12.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sangrur. The respondent reiterated his earlier plea that the information is not readily available and has to be created since there is no specific record being maintained for an individual village and the record is being maintained collectively for all villages of the District.

I am in agreement with the contention of the PIO and of the view that if the appellant is still interested in obtaining the information, the appellant should either specify the information that the appellant is seeking or inspect the record at a mutually convenient time.

The appellant is absent on 2nd consecutive hearing nor is represented.

Appeal Case No. 3732 of 2020

Hereby, if the appellant still wants information, he is allowed to inspect the record by fixing a suitable convenient date & time with the PIO and get the relevant information by depositing the requisite fee as per RTI Act.

The PIO is directed to allow inspection of the record and provide relevant information to the appellant.

With the above observation and order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 13.12.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Amar Dass Mann, S/o Sh Sampooran Singh,
R/o Dharpura, Tehsil Abohar,
Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District controller,
Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs,
Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District controller,
Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs,
Fazilka.

..Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3825 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Kahna Ram for the Appellant
Sh.Amit Gilla, Inspector for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 29.06.2020 has sought information regarding a copy of complaint and diary no. of complaint dated 02.05.2020 filed by Sarpanch Gram Panchayat village Dharpura and villagers collectively against depot holder Radha Krishan and Kahna Ram – action taken on the complaint – statement of complainants – statement of both parties and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of District Controller, Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Fazilka. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 21.08.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 09.08.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant.

The appellant was absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 08.06.2021 informed that the PIO has sent reply relating to points 03 to 07 vide letter dated 16.04.2021 whereby the PIO has denied information on points 3 & 4 stating that the information is 3rd party and no information on points 1,2, 5,6 7 & 8 has been provided.

The appellant was directed to appear on the next date of hearing and plead the case.

Hearing dated 13.12.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. As per the respondent, the remaining information has been provided to the appellant.

As per the representative of the appellant, the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed..**

Chandigarh
Dated:13.12.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Manjit Singh, S/o Sh. Jagat Singh,
Village Kathgarh, Tehsil Jalalabad (East),
Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Food and Drugs Administration Deptt,
Guru Teg Bahadur Nagar, Kharar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3657 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Manjit Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Naresh Kumar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 31.08.2020 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 10.07.2020 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon Fazilka. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 01.10.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 09.08.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. The respondent present pleaded that a reply has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 28.12.2020 and again on 01.03.2021

The appellant was not satisfied with the reply and wanted action taken on his application dated 10.07.2020.

The PIO was directed to provide whatever action has been taken on the application dated 01.06.2020 (along with noting/correspondence) to the appellant within ten days and send a compliance report to the Commission.

Hearing dated 13.12.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. The respondent present pleaded that the inspection has been conducted and the report has been provided to the appellant.

The appellant has received the information.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:13.12.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Sandeep Singh, S/o Sh Kashmir Singh,
R/o Village Muazam, Tehsil & Distt fazilka.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DFSO,
Fazilka.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 754 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
Sh.Vijay Kumar Insepctor for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 07.04.2021 has sought information regarding the list of ration card holders alongwith month-wise details ration received from the Govt; stock provided to depot holders and balance stock detail; ration cards discontinued and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of District Food Supply Officer, Fazilka. The complainant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 10.06.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. As per the respondent, the information has been provided to the complainant and the complainant has received the same. The respondent has sent an acknowledgement of the complainant having received the information which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 13.12.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Gurnam Singh , S/o Sh Utar Singh,
R/o VPO Ladhuka, Tehsil & Distt Fazilka.
Appellant

...

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Food Civil Supplies Officer,
Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Food Civil Supplies Officer,
Fazilka.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2183 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Gurnam Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Chhinder Singh, Inspector for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 06.02.2021 has sought information regarding the date of issue of ration card No.3811000 alongwith documents attached – date of release of ration - complaint received if any and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Food Civil Supply Officer, Fazilka. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 18.03.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. As per the respondent, the reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 09.12.2021 with a copy to the Commission through email.

The appellant has not received the reply. The respondent has handed over a copy of the reply to the appellant during the hearing. However, the appellant wants the information to be sent through the post.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, I find that the RTI application has been suitably replied to. As per the request of the appellant, the PIO is directed to send a copy of the information to the appellant through registered post also.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 13.12.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Rajinder Singh, S/o Sh Gurbachan Singh,
H NO-63, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Colony,
DAV College Road, Jalalabad (West), Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Social Justice &
Empowerment & Minorities Deptt,
Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Social Justice &
Empowerment & Minorities Deptt,
Fazilka.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2672 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Barinder Singh, District Welfare Officer for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 06.02.2021 has sought information regarding details of personal assets/annual statement of Sh.Ashok Kumar Tehsil Welfare Officer for 2016,2017, 2018 & 2019 – a copy of the first page of service book containing caste matriculation certificate and date of birth – objection of Rajinder Pal Singh relating to the social status from village Koilkhera – complaints received from Jan.2016 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of District Social Justice & Empowerment & Minorities Deptt, Fazilka. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 17.02.2021 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing the first appeal, the PIO sent a reply to the appellant on 08.03.2021 to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2nd appeal in the Commission.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. The respondent present pleaded that the information as available in the record has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 08.03.2021.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Having gone through the RTI application and the information provided by the respondent vide letter dated 08.03.2021, I find that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to.

No further interference of the Commission is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 13.12.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Kahana Ram, S/o Sh Mohan Lal,
VPO Dharampura, Tehsil Abohar,
Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Food Civil Supplies Officer,
Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affair0073,
Pb, Sector-39-C, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2856 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Amit Gilla Inspector for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 11.11.2020 has sought information regarding a copy of the enquiry report with supporting documents and affidavit submitted by the owner of M/s B.M.K.Bricks Kiln Dharampura in connection with an online complaint in the office of DFSC Fazilka – list of total inspections with dates done by inspection officer – name & designation of inspecting officer and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Food Civil Supply Officer, Fazilka. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 24.12.2020 which disposed of the appeal on 03.03.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. The respondent present pleaded that the information regarding point-1 has been provided to the appellant. The information relating to point 2 to 5 (i.e. inspections conducted from the year 2010 to 2020) is not available in the record since no inspection has been conducted during last two years and the record preceding two years is not retained and stands destroyed. The information relating to point-6 relates to Pollution Control Board and the reply has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 03.03.2021.

The respondent has also sent a copy of the circular regarding period maintaining/destruction of record which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

The appellant is absent nor has communicated any discrepancies. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 13.12.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission